

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/887,022	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DE CONINCK, VALERE LEOPOLD, MARIE, PIER	
	<b>Examiner</b> N. Bhat	<b>Art Unit</b> 1761	

**All Participants:**

 (1) N. Bhat.

 (2) K. Colton.
**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

20

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The examiner asked to correct inadvertent typo in claim 20, to change "a" second occurrence to --an-- to read "...an agglomerated...." which was authorized by examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)